

11150012829

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

# WARTIME REPORT

ORIGINALLY ISSUED  
January 1941 as  
Advance ~~Report~~ Report.

CHARACTERISTICS OF NACA 4400R SERIES RECTANGULAR AND  
TAPEDED AIRFOILS, INCLUDING THE EFFECT OF SPLIT FLAPS

By Harry Greenberg

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory  
Langley Field, Va.



WASHINGTON

NACA WARTIME REPORTS are reprints of papers originally issued to provide rapid distribution of advance research results to an authorized group requiring them for the war effort. They were previously held under a security status but are now unclassified. Some of these reports were not technically edited. All have been reproduced without change in order to expedite general distribution.

L-493

# CHARACTERISTICS OF NACA 4400R SERIES RECTANGULAR AND TAPERED AIRFOILS, INCLUDING THE EFFECT OF SPLIT FLAPS

By Harry Greenberg

## INTRODUCTION

At the request of the Bureau of Aeronautics, Navy Department, tests were made in the variable-density wind tunnel of a tapered wing of 3-10-18 plan form and based on the NACA 4400R series sections [reference 1]. The wing was also tested with 0.2 chord split flaps, deflected  $60^\circ$ , in the center of the wing and having flap span to wing span ratios of 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, and 1.0, respectively. In order to get data from which to calculate the characteristics of the flapped wing, the investigation of reference 1 was extended to include tests of the four rectangular airfoils of the NACA 4400R series (4409R, 4412R, 4415R, and 4418R) with full-span 0.2 chord, trailing-edge split flaps deflected  $60^\circ$ .

## MODELS AND TESTS

The numbers in the designation of the tapered wing (3-10-18) refer to taper ratio, aspect ratio, and root section percent thickness, respectively. The construction tip section was 9 percent thick. The wing was constructed using straight-line elements between corresponding points on the root (NACA 4418R) and tip (NACA 4409R) sections, and so that in front elevation the upper element was a straight line from tip to tip (fig. 1). All the models had a wing area of 150 square inches, were made of aluminum alloy, and had no geometric twist.

Measurements of lift, drag, and pitching moment were made in the variable-density wind tunnel according to standard procedure (reference 2) at a test Reynolds number of approximately 3,000,000, which corresponds to an effective Reynolds number  $Re$  of approximately 8,000,000 (reference 3).

## RESULTS

Standard plots showing the characteristics of the rectangular airfoils with 0.2 chord split flaps deflected  $60^\circ$  are shown in figures 2 to 5. The section characteristics after the stall are not shown. The principal characteristics are summarized in table I.

The characteristics of the tapered wing with the different flap arrangements are shown in figures 6 to 10. In figure 6, a calculated curve of  $C_{D_e}$ , determined by the method of reference 4, is given for comparison with the test results.

Values of angle of zero lift also were calculated by the method of reference 5 and show good agreement with measured values as shown in table II. The lift-curve slope was calculated (reference 4) for the plain wing but not for the wings with flaps because the slopes of the sections with flaps are far from constant. The moment about the aerodynamic center of the plain wing was calculated by the simpler method of reference 6 which assumes  $C_{m_{a.c.}}$  is constant along the span. The moments for the wings with flaps deflected were obtained by the method of reference 5 using average values of the section moment coefficient for  $C_{m_0}$  and average values of  $\Delta C_m$  at a lift coefficient of 1.0 for the flapped portion of the span.

In the calculation of maximum lift by the method of reference 4, the span load distribution was obtained by the method of reference 6. Good agreement with experiment is shown for the plain wing but poor agreement for the wing with full-span flap. In fact, the measured maximum lift of the tapered flapped wing is higher than that of any of the flapped sections along the span. The discrepancy is attributed to the inadequacy of the assumptions involved in the calculation of maximum lift. These calculations were not made for the wings with partial-span flaps in view of the above and in view of the added uncertainty of the value of the section maximum lift developed near the flap end. In any case, the measured values of maximum lift coefficient of these tapered wings may not be attainable in flight due to the lateral instability associated with tip stalling before the angle corresponding to maximum lift can be reached (reference 7).

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory,  
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics,  
Langley Field, Va.

## REFERENCES

1. Sherman, Albert: Preliminary Report on the Characteristics of the N.A.C.A. 4400R Series Airfoils. NACA ACR, March 1939.
2. Jacobs, Eastman N., and Abbott, Ira H.: The N.A.C.A. Variable-Density Wind Tunnel. Rep. No. 416, NACA, 1932.
3. Jacobs, Eastman N., and Sherman, Albert: Airfoil Section Characteristics as Affected by Variations of the Reynolds Number. Rep. No. 586, NACA, 1937.
4. Anderson, Raymond F.: The Experimental and Calculated Characteristics of 22 Tapered Wings. Rep. No. 627, NACA, 1938.
5. Pearson, Henry A., and Anderson, Raymond F.: Calculation of Aerodynamic Characteristics of Tapered Wings with Partial-Span Flaps. Rep. No. 665, NACA, 1939.
6. Pearson, H. A.: Span Load Distribution for Tapered Wings with Partial-Span Flaps. Rep. No. 585, NACA, 1937.
7. Jacobs, Eastman N.: Tapered Wings, Tip Stalling, and Preliminary Results from Tests of the Stall-Control Flap. NACA ACR, Nov. 1937.

L-493

TABLE I

Characteristics of NACA 4400R Series Airfoils with  
0.2c Split Flap Deflected 60°

Thickness (percent c)	$c_{l_{max}}$	$\alpha_{l_0}$	$c_m(a.c.)_0$ at $C_L = 1$	(a.c.) <sub>0</sub> (percent c from c/4)		$\alpha_{l_0}$ (approx.) (1)	$a_0$ (approx.) (1)
				x	y		
7	2.10	-14.5	-0.231	0.3	5	-16.2	0.085
12	2.38	-15.3	-.248	.1	3	-16.3	.089
15	2.41	-15.4	-.270	.6	4	-16.8	.092
18	2.41	-16.4	-.272	.9	3	-17.4	.095

<sup>1</sup> These values apply to a linear lift curve approximating the experimental lift curve.

L-493

TABLE II  
 Characteristics of NACA 3-10-18 (NACA 4400R) Tapered Wing with 0.2c Split Flap Deflected 60°

Flap length (frac- tion span)	$\alpha_{l=0}$		a		$\frac{x_{a.c.}}{s/b}$		$C_m(a.c.)_0$ at $C_L = 1$		$C_{Denin}$		$C_{l_{max}}$	
	(exp.)	(calc.)	(exp.)	(calc.)	(exp.)	(calc.)	(exp.)	(calc.)	(exp.)	(calc.)	(exp.)	(calc.)
0	-2.4	-2.4	0.083	0.081	0.018	0.008	-0.038	-0.033	0.0077	0.0078	1.39	1.43
.3	-7.9	-7.6	.084	-	-	-	-.175	-.173	.076	-	1.89	-
.5	-11.1	-10.5	.086	-	-	-	-.240	-.226	.107	-	2.16	-
.7	-13.7	-13.0	.086	-	-	-	-.280	-.265	.125	-	2.38	-
1.0	-15.3	-15.5	<sup>1</sup> .085	-	-	-	-.292	-.282	.144	-	2.61	2.25

<sup>1</sup>These values apply to a linear lift curve approximating the experimental lift curve.

NACA

Fig. 1

L-493




Figure 1.- NACA 3-10-18 tapered wing




Figure 2.- NACA 4409R with 0.2c split flap deflected 60°.






Figure 3.- NACA 4412R with 0.2c split flap deflected 60°.






Figure 5.- NACA 4418R with 0.2c split flap deflected 60°.




Figure 6.- The tapered NACA 4400R (NACA 4400R) airfoil.




Figure 7.- The tapered NACA 3-10-18 (NACA 4400R) airfoil with 0.2 chord 0.3 span split flap deflected 60°.




Figure 8.- The tapered NACA 3-10-18 (NACA 4400R) airfoil with 0.2 chord 0.5 span split flap deflected 60°.




Figure 9.- The tapered NACA 3-10-18 (NACA 4400R) airfoil with 0.2 chord 0.7 span split flap deflected 60°.




Figure 10.- The tapered NACA 3-10-18 (NACA 4400R) airfoil with 0.2 chord full-span split flap deflected 60°.